BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

M.A. No. 216 of 2014, M.A. No. 242 of 2014 &
M.A. No. 268 of 2014
In
Original Application No. 58 of 2013

Sonya Ghosh Vs. Govt. of NCT of Delhi &Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE MR. DR. D.K. AGRAWAL, EXPERT MEMBER

HON'BLE MR. B.S. SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE DR. R.C. TRIVEDI, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Amicus Curiae:

Mr. Raj Panjwani, Sr. Advocate, Mr. Aagney Sail Mr. Tripathi Sr. Advocate and Ms. Manisha amd Mr. S. Sharam and Ms. Kanika Tandon Applicant in M.A. No. 216/2014)

Mr. B. K. Sood and Mr. Manik Sood, Mr. Gourav Garg and Mr. Manjit Garg, Advocates(Applicant in M.A. No. 242& 268/2014)

Respondent Nos.1 &2: Mr. Sanjay Dewan, Adv. with Mr. Sh. G. N. Sinha
APCCF and Mr. A. K. Shukla. Chief Conservator of
Forests

Respondent Nos. 5&8: Mr. Vivke K. Tondon, Advocate
Respondent Nos. 6: Mr. Vikas Malhotra and Mr. M.P. Sahay, Advs. For
MoEF

Date and	Orders of the Tribunal		
Remarks			
Item No. 6 May 7, 2014	In furtherance to the order of the Tribunal the		
M 5	Deputy Commissioner South Revenue (District		
V 1 7	Magistrate) is present today before the Tribunal and she		
	informs us that though the Revenue Department of the State had marked three reference points for the purposes of preparation of the Maps by the Forest Department the		
200			
9	Maps prepared by the Forest Department thereupon have		
	not been duly verified by the Revenue Department.		
	We find this to be very serious lack of coordination		
	in the State working inasmuch as one Department does		
	not know or does not conform to the functioning of the		
	other Department while working in collaboration.		
	Be that as it may, we find it unnecessary to go into		
	this controversy at this stage. Suffice it to say that the		
	Applicants before the Tribunal claim that their proper		
	do not form part of the Khasra No. 1677 and 1763		
	Village Asola, Delhi and pray for demarcation of their		
	properties vis-à-vis the said Khasra from the Revenue		
	Department.		

Let Demarcation of these properties be completed positively before the next date of hearing. During the demarcation the representative of the Forest Department, the Chief Wild Life Officer and the Applicant may also be present.

We make it clear to the Deputy Commissioner (District Magistrate) that the Report of demarcation clearly commenting upon the facts aforenoticed should be submitted directly to the Tribunal on or before the next date. We shall not grant any further adjournment. The Report should be absolutely clear, unambiguous and de-horse of any controversy. The method adopted for demarcation shall be duly described in the Report and shall be in accordance with law.

List this matter for further directions on 27th May, 2014.

(2	Swatanter Kuma	,CP r)
J)	U.D. Salvi)	JM
 (D1	r. D.K. Agrawal)	,EM
 (E	3.S. Sajwan)	,EM
(D	r. R.C. Trivedi)	,EM